



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/086,697	03/04/2002	Akitaka Shinohara	1086.1156	2796
21171	7590	03/07/2008		
STAAS & HALSEY LLP			EXAMINER	
SUITE 700			APPLE, KIRSTEN SACHWITZ	
1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.				
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3694	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/07/2008	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/086,697	SHINOHARA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	Art Unit	
	KIRSTEN S. APPLE	3694	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) KIRSTEN S. APPLE. (3) Sheeral Patel.

(2) Randy Beckers. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 28 February 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: all.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: the attorneys argued that Carothers did not have a second marketplace - in addition that the buyers was not a member of the second market places as shown in pp 106. Examiner better understands the arguments of the attorney and believes the interview was very valuable. She will take the information into consideration for the next office action.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Kirsten Apple/

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required